Agenda Faculty Senate Meeting October 14, 1981

 There will be a meeting of the Faculty Senate on Wednesday, October 14, 1981, at 3:35 p.m. in Moore Auditorium.

II. Agenda

- 1. Approval of the minutes of the September 16, 1981, regular meeting of the Senate Mr. Fred Kreimer
- 2. Approval of the Agenda Mr. Fred Kreimer
- 3. Report of activities of the Steering Committee Mr. Fred Kreimer
- 4. Reports of Standing Committees

Undergraduate Policy Committee - Mr. David Ammerman

5. Unfinished Business

Report on the Honor Code and the Student Grievance proposal -Dean of Students Jim Hayes

- 6. Response of the President of the University to previous Senate action
- 7. New Business
 - a. Report on the Budget and the New Contract Ms. Ilona Turrisi
 - b. Report on Campus Safety, Parking for Faculty, Space Assignments, and Long-range Planning - Vice President B.J. Hodge
 - c. Report on Academic Planning Vice President Gus Turnbull

Official Corrected

Faculty Senate Minutes

October 14, 1981

I. Regular Session

The 1981-82 Faculty Senate met in regular session on Wednesday, October 14, 1981, at 3:35 p.m. in Moore Auditorium. Mrs. Katherine Hoffman, Senate President, presided.

The following members were absent: Michael Armer, Bryon Bradbury, Ron Braswell, William Burnett, Richard Chackerian, Gregory Choppin, James Cobb, DeLos DeTar, Karen Glendenning, Sally Hansen, Joe Hiett, Donald Horward, Irwin Jahns, Frances James, Robert Kalin, Curtis Krishef, Joseph Lannutti, Winston Lo, Karyl Louwenaar, Elizabeth Mann, Penelope Mason, Wayne Minnick, Tasuku Ohazama, Mounir Ragheb, Steve Rollin, Edwin Schroeder, Douglass Seaton, John Simmons, Irvin Sobel, Ralph Stair, Fred Standley, Douglas St. Angelo, Alvin Stauber, DeWitt Sumners, and George Weaver.

The following alternates were present: William Cramer, Music; James Skofronick, Physics; John DePew, Library Science; and Jayne Alley, Music.

II. Approval of the Minutes

Mr. Fred Kreimer moved the approval of the minutes for the September 16, 1981 meeting as recorded and distributed. The motion was seconded and adopted.

III Approval of the Agenda

Mr. Fred Kreimer moved the approval of the published agenda. The motion was seconded and adopted.

IV. Report from the Steering Committee

Mr. Fred Kreimer presented the following report:

"The standing committees of the Faculty Senate are organized now, and the chairmen are:

Undergraduate Policy	- David Ammerman
Graduate Policy	- Jayne M. Alley
Curriculum	- Joseph White
Elections	- Barbara C. Palmer
Student Academic Relations	- Ronald J. Clark
Budget Advisory	- James Pitts
Professional Relations and	- Norejane Hendrickson
Welfare	
Grievance	- Robert Kromhout

Faculty Senate Minutes October 14, 1981 Page two

> "Since the last meeting of the Faculty Senate, the Steering Committee has met with Mary Pankowski, Director of the Center for Professional Development and Public Service, to discuss ways to encourage greater participation by the faculty in planning and evaluation of programs as well as instruction in off-campus and non-credit courses. We also met with Associate Vice President Paul Elliott to discuss undergraduate admissions, recruitment of Merit Scholars, and procedures for registration. We have been apprised of efforts to draft new rules for admitting freshmen and limiting enrollments in the State University System, and we have attempted to respond on behalf of the faculty to these preliminary drafts. Finally there was a meeting with President Sliger and Vice President Turnbull to inform them of the activities of the Steering Committee, to convey to them our concerns, and to prepare the agenda for today's meeting of the Faculty Senate."

V. Report from the Undergraduate Policy Committee

Mr. David Ammerman presented the following recommendation from the Undergraduate Policy Committee:

No course taken on **an** S/U basis may be used to fulfill the requirements of Liberal Studies. (The current regulation, page 68 of Bulletin, reads: A maximum of two courses taken on an S/U basis may apply toward the Liberal Studies requirement and they must be at the 3000 or 4000 level.)

()

After discussion, Mr. Ammerman presented the motion:

No course taken on an S/U basis may be used to fulfill the requirements of Liberal Studies.

The motion was seconded.

Mr. Roeder moved that the motion be amended to read:

No courses other than those for which the S/U grade is required may be used to fulfill the requirements of Liberal Studies.

The motion was seconded and adopted.

The motion, as amended, was seconded and adopted, the amended motion to read:

No courses other than those for which the S/U grade is required may be used to fulfill the requirements of Liberal Studies.

VI. Report on the Honor Code and the Student Grievance Proposal

Dean Jim Hayes began his report by calling attention to the report prepared by the committee studying the Honor Code. The committee, appointed in 1978 and working under the chairmanship of Mr. Steve Edwards, recommended that a group be established as the Honor System, Committee to study the operation and effectiveness of the Honor System, keep students and faculty informed concerning the provision of the system, and to make recommendations as it may deem appropriate. He stated Faculty Senate Minutes October 14, 1981 Page three

()

that last year the Faculty Senate submitted Committee nominations to President Sliger who has since appointed the Honor Code Committee.

Dean Hayes stated that a Student Grievance Proposal has been put together and that the Judicial Officer is working on it in consultation with Dr. Elliott, Dr. Groomes, and others. He felt the proposal incomplete and that there **are** still questions addressing compliance with BOR ruling.

VII. Report from Vice President of Administrative Affairs - Dr. B.J. Hodge

Vice President Hodge presented a report on Campus Safety indicating what is being done at the present time and what plans **are being** made for the future. He stated that safety is of concern to everyone on this campus which is a city of 25,000 people on 350 acres. Mr. Hodge reported that there are new lighting standards on the east side of campus and that when BOR releases funds for deferred maintenance there will be continued upgrading of lighting on campus.

He announced the establishment of a Safety Committee which has done a "splendid job." The Committee is composed of students, faculty, and staff members.

He then moved to a discussion on campus parking and indicated that paving has been completed on the Mecca parking Lot. He noted that FSU has requested \$400,000 for repair of streets and parking lot improvements. The University is grading the Diffenbaugh lot occasionally; the lot will see increasing usage when the Center for Professional Development building is completed.

Mr. Hodge reported that a contract had been signed for a study of all traffic patterns - cars, motorcycles, bicycles, buses, parking. A report is expected in mid-March with recommendations on the total picture of traffic and the proposed means for funding.

Reporting on Campus Development and Long Range Planning, Mr. Hodge summarized responsibilities of the Campus Development and University Space Committees. He invited the Faculty Senate to communicate any concerns to his office. In the discussion that followed, questions centered on campus lighting, night bus service, and safety on Tennessee Street. There were also questions concerning the new Business Building for which contracts will be awarded in February of 1982. Occupancy is expected to be scheduled 24-30 months from that date. In response to questions about the Faculty Club, Mr. Hodge stated that preliminary sketches had been made for use of Longmire as a possible Faculty Club, and that while the idea is viable, it is not necessarily recommended. Faculty Senate Minutes October 14, 1981 Page four

VIII. Remarks by President Bernard Sliger

President Sliger brought greetings to the Faculty Senate from Mrs. Margaret Strozier Wright of Chicago who asked that she be remembered to the faculty. In response to questions concerning a memorandum which was sent from Vice President Hodge about communications of faculty with the office of the Board of Regents, the President reviewed activities which had prompted his request for a draft of a memorandum which was inadvertently distributed. He asked that the memorandum be disregarded by the faculty as it was intended as a draft and for administrative staff. He was hoping to achieve a means for more effective communications between the Board of Regents and the University.

IX. Report on the Budget - Ms. Ilona Turrisi

"The E & G Budget this year is at 91.7 million which includes 13.2 million of estimated tuition and other so-called incidental income. In addition this 1981-82 budget includes funding of approximately half of the 1982 Summer Session. Some of the special appropriations included within the 91.7 million are:

1981-82

()

Quality Improvement	1.78 million
Special Book OCO	0.54
Scientific & Tech. Equipment	0.94
Summer 1982	0.83

'Df the 91.7 million, 65.3 million or 71% is budgeted for salaries; 6.8 million or 7.4% for OPS; 13.6 million or 14.9% for Expense; (Includes greater than 5.4 million for Utilities), and 1.879 million dollars or 2% is budgeted for Library Books. The average faculty salary increase was 8.2% (this includes the QIP Supplement). The I & R function represents 67.86% of the budget compared to 65.87% in 1980-81.

"Copies of the operating budget should be in the Library in about a week.

"This operating budget does not show much increase above quality improvement funds because of several factors: One-Florida State University did not share in the more than \$6 million enrollment increase funds appropriated for the system. Although Florida State did grow in terms of FTE students, that growth was at the lower level. It was at this level that the Governor, Legislature, and Board of Regents decided that control on growth should be exferticed even retroactively. Faculty Senate Minutes October 14, 1981 Page five

()

"A second factor was the change in proviso language eliminating the deferment of summer session expenditures to the subsequent fiscal year. Because of the mandated calendar windows our Fall Session began in August and next Summer's Session will begin in May. The result is that approximately 1.5 million in Summer 1982 expenditures will have to be accomodated within the 1981-82 budget whereas the Special appropriation was only \$.832 million for Florida State. Currently there is a committee of the Deans looking at summer 1982 and the strategy which may be used to mesh academic needs with budget realities."

X. Report on the Contract - Mr. Emanuel Shargel

Mr. Shargel reported that the vote on the Contract between the BOR and UFF was taking place. He felt the faculty would ratify the Contract and indicated that the UFF Executive Committee was happy with the Contract. There has been verbal commitment on the part of the Chancellor and the BOR that they will work to bring the average of SUS salaries up to the top quartile nationally. Mr. Shargel also pointed out that the contract specifies that a faculty member "shall receive approximately the same total salary for teaching a course during a supplemental appointment as the employee received for teaching the same course or a course similar in length and content, during the academic year, regardless of the length of the supplemental appointment. The instructional assignment shall include the normal activities related to such an assignment as defined by the department and the nature of the course, such as examinations, advisement and counseling, course preparation, minor curriculum development and minor committee activities. Where the instructional portion of a supplemental assignment immediately follows the academic year appointment, the employee may be asked to perform reasonable and necessary noninstructional duties related to the supplemental assignment prior to the conclusion of the academic year appointment."

XI. Report of the Vice President for Academic Affairs - Dr. Augustus Turnbull

"I would like to thank President Hoffman and the members of the Senate for the assignment to address the senators on--

-academic planning

-progress being made in establishing priorities for university action -steps being taken to implement the priorities and other topics important to bring up.

"Covering those topics completely might take us into the evening but I will try to summarize and get through a little more quickly than that.

"Last month I gave you a quick summary of steps being taken within the administration to develop some priorities--in particular asking the deans to indicate their top priorities and then establishing deans' task forces to begin to develop proposals for action. There were over a hundred initial suggestions and close to fifty remained on the list after two reiterations of the survey. I then assigned 14 task forces and identified an additional 17 topics for which an individual dean was to serve as a liaison--or memory jogger--for me and the other members of the Council of Deans. Our human inability to deal with so many topics will naturally reduce the list of active subjects considerably, but I believe we have a good start on some issues that are important to the academic endeavors of The Florida State University.

Perhaps the best way to get into the substance is to discuss briefly the five task forces whose topics ranked highest in concern:

As you have heard from Budget Director Turrisi, we have not yet become overfunded at Florida State. Since the budget is tight, we must give continuous attention to wise use of the scarce resources as well as to strategies for securing additional resources. I have now spent over ten years on this campus in a variety of roles--several different administrative assignments, as a teaching faculty member, and as a member of the Senate Steering Committee and chair of its budget committee. I have chaired presidential and/or senate committees on the administrative organization of the university, the structure for planning and budgeting, faculty salaries, and a number of specific problem areas which usually become problems because of resource shortages. I have allocated budgets and I have received budget allocations; I have heard a lot of complaints and I have done some fairly aggressive complaining myself.

()

"From this experience I have reached several conclusions:

- (1) This institution has fundamental resource problems and they are shared rather equally across academic units and among the academic and non-academic divisions. We may have some slightly less starved cats, but there aren't any fat cats. Certainly no one is hoarding a pile of unused resources to the detriment of the rest of us.
- (2) Most administrators, faculty, and staff are genuinely concerned about effective use of resources and do a good job in getting the most out of the dollars we do have.
- (3) There has been an enormous amount of misinformation and noninformation on the university budget despite the diligent efforts of a number of able people. In particular, people need help in understanding the enormous gap between what they reasonably request at the start of the budget cycle and the little incremental (or decremental) amount that comes out of the pipeline at the end of the cycle.

Faculty Senate Minutes October 14, 1981 Page seven

> It is my fervent hope that the Deans Task Force and the Senate Budget Committee will be effective tools for improving communication and the size of the pie we will have to communicate about."

Dr. Turnbull indicated that Senator Jack Gordon is Chairman of the Senate Appropriations Committee. The Senate has asked all agencies to assume all budget funding next year would be at the same level as this year. This view, he said, is Senate initiated, not House.

Moving to a discussion on Student Quality, Dr. Turnbull indicated particular concerns on new admission rules, and the new "rising junior" essential academic skills test.

Dr. Turnbull spoke briefly on the Deans' Task Force on Instruction Support and the Legislative Relations Task Force. He indicated that the Task Force on Research Support would give particular attention to upgrading the I & R Computer. Dr. Turnbull mentioned that planning was continuing for an Engineering School, and that the SUS Master Plan and Enrollment Planning issues will be coming up.

XII. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Dawy P. Harry

Daisy P. Flory