



The Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida 32306-1030

Faculty Senate R9B
904-644-6876

**AGENDA
FACULTY SENATE MEETING
Moore Auditorium
March 11, 1992
3:45 p.m.**

- I. Approval of the minutes of the February 12, 1992 meeting
 - II. Approval of the agenda for the March 11, 1992 meeting
 - III. Report of the Steering Committee, F. Leysieffer
 - IV. Report of Special Committee
Land Acquisition Committee, F. Standley
 - V. Reports of Standing Committees
 - a. Graduate Policy Committee, J. Standley
 - b. Undergraduate Policy Committee, P. Wright
 - c. Computing and Information Resources Committee, C. Tolbert
 - d. Professional Relations and Welfare Committee, P. Wilkens
 - VI. Unfinished Business
 - VII. University Welfare
 - VIII. Announcements of Deans and other administrative officers
 - IX. Announcements of the President of the University
-

ANNOUNCEMENT

The University Club will host the University Club Wednesday Social at the home of President and Mrs. Lick immediately following the Senate meeting. The University Club will collect \$2.00 to help defray their expenses.

**THE NEXT SENATE MEETING WILL BE April 8, 1992
IN MOORE AUDITORIUM**



The Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida 32306-1030

Faculty Senate R9B
904-644-6876

*1051

WALLAT CYNTHIA
CHRPSN & PROFESSOR
FOUNDATIONS AND POLICY STUDIES
306 STB

FACULTY SENATE MEETING
Moore Auditorium
March 11, 1992
3:45 p.m.

I. Regular Session

The last regular session of the 1991-1992 Faculty Senate met on Wednesday, March 11, 1992 at 3:45 p.m. Senate President Alan Mabe presided.

The following members were absent. Alternates who were present are listed in parentheses. T. Anderson, B. Banoff, T. Campbell, T. Clark, P. Dean (P. Kohler), A. Dzurik, P. Elliott, P. Gielisse, J. Hurn, C. Imwold, J. Kerr (U. Ozanne), C. Lacher, W. Landing (W. Dewar), W. Lo, C. Madsen, B. McHugh, J. Mitchell, J. Mundy, W. Oliver, W. Outlaw, J. Piersol, M. Ponce, A. Rowe (E. Walker), S. Sathe, K. Scott, K. Shelfer (C. Morris) F. Shelley, F. Simons, C. Steele, P. Stowell, G. Suarez, A. Thomas, K. Tobin (A. Gallard), J. Torgesen.

II. Approval of the minutes

The minutes of February 12 were approved as distributed.

III. Approval of the agenda

The agenda was approved with the addition of a report from Provost Glidden under item VII.

IV. Report of the Steering Committee, F. Leysieffer

The Faculty Senate Steering Committee met regularly since our last Faculty Senate meeting in February and once with President Lick and Provost Glidden. I will list the major issues considered by the Steering Committee this past month.

*** Data Collection and Reporting.** The Steering Committee discussed the university's data collection and reporting operations with the Dean of the Faculties Steve Edwards and Budget director Rafael Alvarez and again with President Lick and Provost Glidden. With the new accountability reporting requirements of the Board of Regents, it is important to our university that information that is collected be done so accurately and that reporting be done carefully. Provost Glidden will be coordinating the review of our procedures.

*** FSU Foundation.** The Steering Committee met with Mr. Duke Perry, President of the FSU Foundation to learn of the plans the Foundation has for a capital funds campaign. We hope to have Mr. Perry give a report on those activities to the full Senate at our April meeting.

*** Dean Search for the FAMU/FSU College of Engineering.** The Steering Committee will be one of the groups interviewing candidates for the position of the Dean of the College of Engineering. Four candidates are being invited to campus for interviews which will take place within the next five weeks.

***Space and Development Committees.** The Steering Committee continued with its discussions with President Lick and Provost Glidden on the roles of the University Space Committee and the Campus Development Committee with a view toward combining those two committees.

*** SACS Review.** The Steering Committee has continued with its discussions regarding the SACS accreditation review to be conducted by July of next year. The name of the Chair of the SACS Review Steering Committee will be announced shortly. Professor Cynthia Wallat will serve as director for the SACS review.

*** Memorials and Courtesies.** The faculty response to the proposal of the Memorials and Courtesies Committee has been heartening. The committee will proceed with its plans to purchase a book for the library in the name of the faculty to honor our deceased colleagues. Those faculty members who have not yet contributed to the fund and who would like to do so can send their checks to Mrs. Janis Sass, 314

Westcott, R-9B. The checks can be made out to FSU Foundation, Memorials and Courtesies Fund.

V. Report of Special Committee

a. Land Acquisition Committee, F. Standley

Senator Standley reported that the Land Acquisition Committee was appointed by former President Bernie Sliger, and was continued by President Lick. This committee is considering the complex and varied subject of acquiring land for use by the University. The Florida State University has received \$18 million to purchase surrounding properties. At this time several parcels of land have been purchased. Several other tracts are either under contract or being considered. The committee has identified 167 parcels (approximately 135 acres) for consideration.

VI. Reports of Standing Committee

a. Graduate Policy Committee, J. Standley

The report from the Graduate Policy Committee is attached as addendum I.

b. Undergraduate Policy Committee, P. Wright

The Undergraduate Policy Committee presented course **FOW 3240y, Literature and Sexuality** for Liberal Studies credit in Area IV (Humanities) and the multicultural designation 'diversity in Western experience'. The Senate approved this request.

At the February 12 Senate meeting, the Senate approved Liberal Studies credit in Area V (Natural Sciences) for **ISC 2---x, Global Change, Its Scientific and Human Dimensions**. The issue of multicultural designation was referred back to committees to be reported at this meeting. Both the UPC and the Multicultural Screening Committee recommended approval of the course for the multicultural designation 'cross cultural'. (All recorded votes by the Multicultural Screening Committee favored approval, however, not a majority returned votes.) There was extensive discussion of the proposal and the presentation of

additional documentation. The Senate defeated a motion to refer the matter back to committee. The Senate approved the course for the multicultural designation 'cross cultural'.

c. Computing and Information Resources, C. Tolbert

The report of the Computing and Information Resources Committee is attached as addendum II.

d. Professional Relations and Welfare Committee, P. Wilkens

Mr. Wilkens reported that the Professional Relations and Welfare Committee had met and discussed the Seminole Access Card. Privacy, library use and contract issues were examined. Mr. Bill Norwood (Administrative Information Systems) met with the committee to explain and demonstrate several types of security systems a department may choose to have. If faculty and staff choose only to use the card as access to buildings and for identification, no financial agreement is required. However, if one chooses to use the card as a debit card, some personal information is required by financial institutions. Each faculty member will decide whether they want to enter the contract to use the access card as a debit card. The committee distributed a report (addendum III) for information. Mr. Norwood brought two types of equipment for Senators to examine. He gave a brief explanation of their use. The committee was commended for the fine job it had done.

VII. Unfinished Business

There were no items of unfinished business.

VIII. University Welfare

The issue of the comparative contributions of the University of Florida's and Florida State University's athletic departments was raised and discussed.

IX. Announcements of Deans and other administrative officers

Provost Glidden responded to a question on the implementation plan for campus-wide computer networking. He stated that one-year and two-year plans are being developed. The cost is 1 million dollars.

He then gave a follow-up report on the School of Criminology and Criminal Justice (addendum IV).

X. Announcements of the President of the University

President Lick reported that the budget situation had not changed. The governor will veto the present budget and a special session will take place.

The President reported that in a meeting with the local legislative delegation he had found a lot of support for the universities. He and President Lombardi are meeting with Florida and Florida State legislators later this evening.


A report shows that the State of Florida is last in education funding. This is very disturbing.

President Lick reminded Senators that the social would be at the President's house and that everyone is invited.

Senate President Alan Mabe stated that this is the last meeting of the 1991-1992 Senate. The April meeting is the organizational meeting for the new Senate. He thanked Greg Phifer, Parliamentarian and Janis Sass, Secretary to the Faculty for their hard work and assistance this year.

XI. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:30 p.m.


Janis D. Sass
Secretary to the Faculty

Appendix I

GPC Annual Report
Academic Year 1991-92
Jayne Standley, Chair

I. New Graduate Degree Programs

Feasibility studies approved: NONE

II. Policy Changes Considered

Approved:

1. Changes in the S/U course option policies specific to the Law School (Subsequently approved by the Senate on 12/4/91)

2. Formal ratification of existing methodology for computing Graduate GPA by the Registrar's office (Did not require approval of the Senate)

Rejected: NONE

III. Old Business from 1990-91 year

1. One review not completed

Geophysical Fluid Dynamics - Dan Simberloff, chair

Degree status: Continued

Key Recommendations:

-Develop student seminar

-Investigate a student teaching program

-Develop a student laboratory

-Appoint student representatives to the GFD Coordinating Committee

-Enhance communication with students regarding requirements for oral and written comprehensive exams and ensure prompt establishment of advisory committees

-Make effort to recruit U.S. students

2. Moved the Physical Education Doctoral program in the College of Education from conditional to full approval following documentation of compliance with recommendations.

IV. Reviews of Doctoral Programs 1991-92

1. Philosophy - Charles Swain, Chair

Degree Status - Continued

DDS - 7 faculty continued

Key Recommendations:

-Requested the administration to authorize this department to recruit 4 additional faculty at the earliest possible opportunity

- Requested the administration to give high priority to allocating funds for library holdings in Philosophy
- Requested dept. to intensify efforts to obtain external funds
- Commended the department for maintaining the quality of its Ph.D. program under extremely difficult circumstances.

2. Biological Sciences - Ed Desloge, Chair
Degree Status - Continued
DDS - 34 faculty continued

Key Recommendations:

- Strengthen area of molecular biology
- Consider again a solid set of core courses and/or an area wide prelim exam (recommended 8 yrs ago in the last review)
- Increase the interaction between area III faculty and those in areas I and II
- Strive to strengthen the areas of molecular biology and biophysics through the new Structural Biology Program in the Institute of Molecular Biophysics

3. Molecular Biophysics - Jon Ahlquist, Chair
Degree Status - Continued
DDS - NA

Key Recommendations:

- Renew the list of faculty affiliates every 5 years
- Increase communication between MOB, Chemistry & Biology with regard to differences in rules regarding T.A.'s

4. Economics - Donna Fletcher, Chair
Degree Status - Continued
DDS - 20 faculty continued

Key Recommendations:

- Continue efforts to increase women and minorities on the faculty and in the doctoral program
- Inform entering grad students immediately of the consequences of deficient background in basic probability, statistics, and calculus
- Provide additional mailboxes for graduate students
- Encourage the formation and continuation of a graduate student organization
- Reduce T.A. teaching loads if possible
- Consider providing more opportunities for independent, in-depth research applying quantitative tools.
- Increase the separation between grad and undergrad curriculum
- Keep up to date file of course syllabi
- Provide adequate and appropriate office space to faculty and graduate students and work space for office staff
- Develop computer network and lab for students

-Work with administration to bring Bellamy Bldg. into with laws concerning access for the disabled.

5. Music - Walter Moore, Chair

Degree Status - Continued

DDS - 44 faculty continued

Key Recommendations:

- Commended for achieving high standards of excellence in graduate education
- Recommended priority to attracting new faculty in the areas of voice, keyboard, string ed, computer/electronic media composition, ethnomusicology, jazz bass/doublebass, music theory, and clarinet or trumpet
- Recommended that the administration allow music to fill some vacant positions with senior faculty of national reputation
- Review literature describing the PhD and EdD programs to ensure it reflects degree differences

6. Math Education - Steve Blumsack, Chair

Degree Status - Conditional Approval

All other action was tabled until early Sept. '92 pending a response from the College of Ed concerning degree administration and faculty participation

V. Other Business

1. Scheduled 1992 review of the Criminology department's policies and procedures with regard to equity for graduate students
2. Accepted a report from the College of Education delineating the distinctions between the Ed.D. and Ph.D. degrees

VI. Unfinished Business

1. Reviews of the doctoral programs in Audiology/Speech Pathology and Reading Education not yet completed
2. Follow-up reports of doctoral programs reviewed 2 yrs. ago

March 11, 1992

Addendum II

Report of the Senate

Computing and Information Resources Committee (CIRC)

March, 1992

The Senate Computing and Information Resources Committee has met regularly since early fall. Standing subcommittees have been established for allocations and networking. In both instances, these are activities that once operated independently of CIRC and now have been encompassed by the senate committee. CIRC operates in a very open fashion. We have become accustomed to 10-15 visitors at each meeting, many of whom participate in the discussion. Our minutes and other proceedings are widely-disseminated and posted on the campus electronic news network.

In its deliberations, CIRC has continued to develop a faculty vision of academic computing that is based on: 1) decentralized computing, 2) the key role of the campus network and interconnectivity to the outside world, 3) the potential for enfranchisement of increasing numbers of students and faculty who will find their learning, scholarship, and productivity enhanced by computing, and 4) the need for an appropriate level of access to computing for every faculty member, staff member, and student.

Among the routine functions of CIRC is annual review (during the fall semester) of a plan for computing and information resources developed by the administration. In place for several years now, this planning process has developed into a collegial exchange among faculty, technical experts, and administrators. For the first time this year, our review also included assessment of new initiatives proposed in the plan. There was a substantial consensus on the committee that networking initiatives were the most important of the proposed new activities and resources. We expect that subsequent plans will reflect these priorities and have been reassured by the CIRC administration that they will. During the year, CIRC also adopted a statement on a minimum wiring standard for new campus buildings and called for a plan to bring existing wiring up to the new standard.

An *ad hoc* subcommittee on decentralized computing worked through the holidays to develop some specific recommendations. The subcommittee was chaired by Professor Chris Lacher and also included Professors Dennis Duke and Peter Ray. This summary report draws heavily on the subcommittee's report and on the CIRC minutes to summarize the CIRC recommendations below. Readers are encouraged to consult the materials on which this summary report is based.

CIRC Recommendations

1. Call for a new initiative in academic computing

Academic computing (including the campus network) is a fundamental concern of the faculty and vital to the welfare of the university. Academic computing is crucial to our research, teaching, and service missions. Authority for the

1. Reviews of all academic units should be scheduled at regular intervals. Currently, they are scheduled on an "as needed" basis as determined by the President's Assistant for Human Relations. If resource limits preclude this, then objective criteria for determining the timing of reviews should be developed.

2. As much as possible, reviews of one academic unit should be informed by comparative data from other units. Without such comparative data, it is difficult to determine how meaningful the information from a particular unit may be.

3. The committee reviewing a particular unit should comprise at least a majority of "peers" for those persons being reviewed. Thus, committees reviewing academic units should have a composition with a majority of faculty. The final review of the Criminology and Criminal Justice program involved only one faculty member.

4. The review committee should be provided adequate professional assistance in the sampling of people to be contacted, the design and administration of questionnaires and interviews and the statistical analysis of grades and other empirical data. Such assistance is readily available on campus and should be build into committee composition.

5. The unit under review should be given the opportunity to respond to preliminary findings. Without such response, elemental standards of fairness, procedural balance and accuracy may be compromised.

These recommendations could be the starting point for a discussion of the methods and procedures whereby all members of the university community are insured the most equitable and unbiased environment in which to pursue their academic interests.



The Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida 32306-1030

Faculty Senate R9B
904-644-6876

MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 4, 1992

TO: University Colleagues

FROM: Alan Mabe, President *Alan Mabe*
Faculty Senate

SUBJECT: CHANGE IN SENATE SOCIAL FOR MARCH

Normally the April Senate Wednesday Social is held at the President's home. This year President Lick has a conflict with the April Senate date. He particularly wants to be present to greet us, so we have arranged to have the March Senate Social at President Lick's home. Please plan to join President and Mrs. Lick at their home after the Senate meeting (5-7 p.m.) on **Wednesday, March 11, 1992**. The social will be hosted by the University Club. (As usual, the University Club will collect \$2.00 to help defray their expenses.)